

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	· ·					
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/088,838	(03/21/2002	Ferdinand Piech	4598-48PUS	2174	•
2352	7590	02/04/2004		EXAM	IINER	•
		ER GERB & SOI	CAMPBELL, KELLY E			
NEW YORK		HE AMERICAS 00368403	j	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2610		•

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠ . مند			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summary	10/088,838	PIECH ET AL. ;
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Kelly E Campbell	3618
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspondenc address
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/6	23	
2a) <u>□</u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>14,15,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.		
•	Claim(s) <u>16-24</u> is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOHIN PTO-132.
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (I) (O)
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority copies of the priority document copies of the priority copies of the priority copies of the priority copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(ast priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120(ast priority under 35 U.S.C. §	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachmen			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 903

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mezger (DE 4029058) in view of Mezger (US 5,094,203).

Mezger (DE 4029058) teaches an installation arrangement <u>for a vehicle</u> <u>drive unit</u> including: a transmission (19,2 and gear drive not shown); a crankshaft (14) mounted in an engine housing so as to lie in a

a parallel auxiliary shaft (13) driven by the crankshaft (14) <u>for transmitting</u> <u>power to the transmission</u> (19,2 and gear drive), see Abstract, page 1, "...shaft (13) which is connected via a gear drive to the crankshaft (14)";

Iongitudinal direction of the vehicle, see Figure 1;

input drive shafts (18) for vehicle wheels, indirectly through a connection with transmission shaft (19) and output shaft 20, see Abstract, page 2, "...shaft (18) connected to transmission shaft (19) which is joined to an output shaft (20) driving the rear wheel axle (7)";

and an output drive shaft (20) in operative connection with the transmission (19,2, and gear drive not shown) and the input drive shafts (18) for driving the vehicle wheels, as the applicant has not expressly claimed an output

Art Unit: 3618

shaft external or separate from the transmission as a component between the transmission and the input drive shafts for the vehicle wheels.

Mezger (DE 4029058) does not disclose an engine including cylinders arranged in rows at an angle to one another.

Mezger (US,5,094,203) teaches an arrangement for an internal combustion engine (E), the engine (E) having cylinders arranged in at least two cylinder rows (1 or 2), wherein the rows are disposed in planes, clearly at an angle to one another, see Figure 1 and Column 2, lines 4-6, one the rows (1 or 2) being arranged to lie at least "substantially" horizontally, wherein the term "substantially" does not clearly define the position of the cylinder rows, since both rows (1 and 2) can be defined as being "substantially" horizontal and still be at an angle (however small) towards one another.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the drive train configuration of the racing car taught by Mezger (DE 4029058A1) to include the specific configuration of the racing car engine disclosed by Mezger (US 5,094,203) having cylinders arranged at an angle in order to contribute to a uniform distribution of weight of the engine.

With regards to claim 14, the recitations:

- "...for driving the wheels...";
- "...for vehicle wheels...";
- "...for transmitting power to the transmission...";
- "...for a vehicle drive unit...";

Art Unit: 3618

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mezger (DE 4029058) in view of Mezger (US 5,094,203) as applied to claim 14 above and further in view of Zink (DE 3837256 C1).

Mezger (DE 4029058) in view of Mezger (US 5,094,203) discloses all aspects of the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 14, except the crankshaft plane being offset from the auxiliary shaft plane.

Zink (DE 3837256 C1) teaches a crankshaft (13) and auxiliary shaft (15) arranged in accommodating, vertically running planes at a distance from one another that an auxiliary shaft plane (15) which accommodates the auxiliary shaft is identical to a vehicle longitudinal center plane, see Figure 1, and a crankshaft plane which accommodates the crankshaft (13) is arranged offset at a distance from the auxiliary shaft plane to one side, see Figure 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the racecar drive train arrangement taught by Mezger (DE 4029058) in view of Mezger (US 5,094,203) to include offset planes

Art Unit: 3618

for the crankshaft and auxiliary shaft as disclosed by Zink, to ensure adequate rigidity of connection and allow the engine to be fitted at a greater angle of inclination in the vehicle, as disclosed in the abstract.

Claims 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mezger (DE 4029058) in view of Mezger (US 5,094,203) as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Kraus (US 2,915,133).

Mezger (DE 4029058) in view of Mezger (US 5,094,203) discloses all aspects of the claimed invention as discussed above for claim 14, except the input drive shafts being arranged so that an angle arranged between the input drive shafts and the vehicle longitudinal center plane, is less than 90 degrees.

Kraus discloses a vehicle arrangement including a longitudinal center plane of the vehicle being indicated by a shaft (24a), see Column 2, lines 58-66;

And input drive shafts (37) for vehicle wheels, being disposed so that an angle arranged between the input drive shafts and the vehicle longitudinal center plane, is less than 90 degrees, see Figure 2, as seen from the front view of the vehicle, Figure 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the drive shaft arrangement of the vehicle taught by Mezger (DE 4029058) in view of Mezger (US 5,094,203), to include an angled arrangement of the drive shaft and longitudinal center plane in order to suitably distribute the essential elements of the vehicle and uniformly distribute weight for improved performance of a racing vehicle.

62) 1/23/04

Art Unit: 3618

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not disclose a an installation arrangement for a vehicle drive unit including cylinders arranged in rows at an angle, and further including an engine housing has a rear wall, with an opening and the output drive shaft articulated on the rear wall at the opening, such that the opening having a center point arranged at a distance to one side of the vehicle longitudinal center plane such that a crankshaft plane lies between the center point and the auxiliary shaft plane. A combination of these and other limitations have not been reasonably founding the prior art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 14-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly E Campbell whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3618

(703) 605-4264. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Johnson can be reached on (703) 308-0885. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

.....